

Original Research Article

LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY IN NORTH KERALA: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF 68 PATIENTS

Santhosh R¹, Adeebu Zaman M C², Ronak Hameed³, Ajith Vettuparambil⁴

 Received
 : 12/11/2023

 Received in revised form
 : 24/01/2024

 Accepted
 : 10/02/2024

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Ronak Hameed

Malabar Medical College Hospital and Research Centre Kozhikode, India. Email: ronxham@gmail.com.

DOI: 10.5530/ijmedph.2024.1.61

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared

Int J Med Pub Health

2024; 14 (1); 332-335

ABSTRACT

Background: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has become the gold standard for the surgical management of gallbladder diseases. This study focuses on the epidemiological profile of 68 patients in North Kerala who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy in a tertiary care centre.

Material and Methods: A prospective study was conducted among 68 patients who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy, from January 1, 2022, to June 30, 2022, at Malabar Medical College Hospital and Research Centre, Kozhikode.

Results: The mean age of the patients was 46 years, with a notable female predominance. The mean duration of symptoms, operating time, ICU stay, and hospital stay were 203.1 days (SD-60), 49.67 minutes (SD-1.63), 54.98 hours (SD-9.43), and 2.61 days (SD-1.01), respectively. Histopathological examination revealed that 52.9% exhibited features indicative of acute cholecystitis, 16.2% had xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis, and 30.9% had chronic cholecystitis. In the postoperative period, 5.9% experienced respiratory complications, 1.5% had bile duct injury, and 2.9% developed deep vein thrombosis.

Conclusion: This study examines the epidemiological profile and safety of laparoscopic cholecystectomy, offering valuable insights into this domain, contributing essential regional data to the field in alignment with international standards.

Keywords: Cholecystitis, Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, Complications, Kerala.

INTRODUCTION

Gallbladder diseases, such as cholelithiasis and cholecystitis, continue to be prevalent worldwide.^[1] The pathogenesis of gallstones is a multifaceted process influenced by factors that impact both bile content and flow. Based on their composition, gallstones are classified into three categoriescholesterol, black pigment, and brown pigment category exhibits distinctive Each epidemiological features, characteristic risk factors, and a unique formation mechanism.^[2] Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has gained widespread acceptance due to its numerous advantages, including reduced postoperative pain, shorter hospital stays, and faster recovery times compared open cholecystectomy.^[1,3] This study focuses on the epidemiological profile of 68 patients in North Kerala who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy in a tertiary care center.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A prospective study was conducted among 68 underwent laparoscopic patients who cholecystectomy, from January 1, 2022, to June 30 2022, at Malabar Medical College Hospital and Research Centre, Kozhikode. All patients who provided informed consent and had undergone laparoscopic cholecystectomy were included. The study encompasses data on patient-related parameters. comorbidities. imaging findings.

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Surgical Gastroenterology, Malabar Medical College Hospital and Research Centre Kozhikode, India. ²Senior Resident, Department of General Surgery, Government Medical College, Kannur, India.

³Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Malabar Medical College Hospital and Research Centre, Kozhikode, India.

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Government Medical College, Kannur, India.

diagnoses, intraoperative findings, and histopathological examinations. Statistical analysis was conducted using Epi Info.

RESULTS

A total of 68 patients had undergone cholecystectomy during the study period. The mean age of the patients was 46 years. There was a strong female predominance (58.8%) among the patients. The patient profile is shown in Table 1. The mean duration of symptoms, operating time, ICU stay, and hospital stay were 203.1 days (SD-60), 49.67 minutes (SD-1.63), 54.98 hours (SD-9.43), and 2.61 days (SD-1.01), respectively.

Of the 68 participants, 38.2% (26 cases) were in the 30-50 age group. Additionally, 23.5% (16 out of 68) had a BMI greater than 25. Moreover, 20.6% (14 out of 68) had diabetes mellitus, and 19.1% (13 out

of 68) had hypertension. One patient had a history of previous COVID-19 positivity. Furthermore, 58.8% (40 out of 68) of the patients reported a history of recurrent biliary colic episodes.

Preoperative endoscopic cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) was performed in 8.8% (6/68) cases, and one patient had a history previous cholecystostomy. Emergency cholecystectomy was carried out in 2.9% (2/68) cases. Intraoperatively, mucocele was noted in 17.6% (12/68) patients, and one patient had bile duct injury. On histopathological examination, 52.9% (36/68) had features suggestive of acute cholecystitis, 16.2% (11/68)had xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis, and 30.9% (21/68) had chronic cholecystitis. During the postoperative period, 5.9% (4/68) experienced complications, and 2.9% (2/68) respiratory developed deep vein thrombosis.

Table 1: Basic characharestics of the study population

Table 1: Basic characharestics of the study population Parameters	N	0/0
Age group	11	/0
21-30	14	20.6
		20.6
31-40	9	13.2
41-50	17	25
51-60	15	22.1
61-70	10	14.7
71-80	3	44
Gender		
Male	28	41.2
Female	40	58.8
Body Mass Index		
18.5-22.9	17	25
23-24.9	35	51.5
>25	16	23.5
Diabetes Mellitus		
Yes	14	20.6
No	54	79.4
Hypertension		,,,,,
Yes	13	19.1
No	55	80.9
COPD	33	60.7
Yes	3	4.4
No	65	95.6
Past h/o Covid	0.5	93.0
	1	1.5
Yes	1	
No Si i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	67	98.5
Chronic Liver Disease		
Yes	1	1.5
No	67	98.5
Other Comorbidities		
Yes	13	19.1
No	55	80.9
Previous Abdominal Surgery		
Yes	6	8.8
No	62	91.2
Biliary colic episode		
First	21	30.9
Recurrent	40	58.8
None	7	10.3
Diagnosis		
Gall stone/Biliary colic	20	29.4
Acute Cholecystitis	26	38.2
Biliary Pancreatitis	2	2.9
GB Polyp	2	2.9
Others	18	26.5
	10	20.3
Ultrasound Findings		

No	Thick GB Wall		
No		2.1	30.9
Perioblecystic fluid Yes			
Yes		.,	07.1
No		4	5.9
Imparted stone			
Yes 41 60.3 No 27 39.2 Preoperative ERCP 7 39.2 Yes 6 8.8 No 62 91.2 Other scan 2 1.2 CECT 14 20.6 MRCP 20 34 50 Previous Cholecystotomy 2 20 39.4 50 Previous Cholecystotomy 4 50 70 70.5 70 70.5 70 70.5 70 70.5 70 70.5 70 70.5 70 70.5 70 70.5 70		Ŭ.	, <u>.</u>
No		41	60.3
Preoperative ERCP			
Yes 6 8.8 No 62 91.2 Other scan			57.2
No		6	8.8
Other scam			
CECT		02	71.2
MRCP		14	20.6
None			
Previous Cholecystostomy			
Yes		54	30
No		1	1.5
Nature of Surgery Emergency(2 Days-14 Days) 2 2.9			
Emergency(2 Days-14 Days)		07	70.3
Elective(>14 Days)		2	2 0
Procedure			
Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy		00	71.1
Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy		66	97.1
LC+CBD exploration			
Intraop Findings Normal Yes 61 89.7 No 7 10.3 Mucocele GB Yes 12 17.6 No 56 82.4 Shrunken GB Yes 2 2.9 No 56 97.1 Perforation Yes 1 1.5 No 67 98.5 Prozen Calot Yes 17 25 No 51 75 Empyema Yes 8 11.8 No 60 88.2 Histopathology finding Acute cholecystitis 11 16.2 Chronic cholecystitis 11 16.2 Tes No 64 94.1 DVT Yes 2 2.9 No 66 97.1 Tes So So So So Tes So So So So So So So S			
Normal Yes 61 89.7		1	1.3
Yes 61 89.7 No 7 10.3 Mucocele GB 12 17.6 Yes 12 17.6 No 56 82.4 Shrunken GB 2 2.9 No 56 97.1 Perforation			
No		61	80.7
Mucocele GB Yes 12 17.6 No 56 82.4 Shrunken GB 2 2.9 Yes 2 2.9 No 56 97.1 Perforation			
Yes 12 17.6 No 56 82.4 Shrunken GB		/	10.3
No		12	17.6
Shrunken GB Yes 2 2.9 No 56 97.1 Perforation			
Yes 2 2.9 No 56 97.1 Perforation		30	82.4
No 56 97.1 Perforation		2	2.0
Perforation Yes			
Yes 1 1.5 No 67 98.5 Dense adhesions		30	97.1
No 67 98.5 Dense adhesions 32 47.1 Yes 32 47.1 No 36 52.9 Frozen Calot		1	1.5
Dense adhesions Yes 32 47.1			
Yes 32 47.1 No 36 52.9 Frozen Calot		67	98.5
No 36 52.9 Frozen Calot 7es 17 25 No 51 75 Empyema		22	47.1
Frozen Calot Yes 17 25 No 51 75 Empyema			
Yes 17 25 No 51 75 Empyema		36	52.9
No 51 75 Empyema 36 11.8 Yes 8 11.8 No 60 88.2 Histopathology finding 36 52.9 Acute cholecystitis 36 52.9 Xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis 11 16.2 Chronic cholecystitis 21 30.9 Post operative complications 21 30.9 LRTI 4 5.9 No 64 94.1 DVT 5.9 4 Yes 2 2.9 No 66 97.1 Bile duct injury 1 1.5		1.7	25
Empyema 8 11.8 No 60 88.2 Histopathology finding			
Yes 8 11.8 No 60 88.2 Histopathology finding		51	75
No 60 88.2 Histopathology finding 36 52.9 Acute cholecystitis 36 52.9 Xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis 11 16.2 Chronic cholecystitis 21 30.9 Post operative complications 21 30.9 LRTI 4 5.9 No 64 94.1 DVT 5.9 Yes 2 2.9 No 66 97.1 Bile duct injury 1 1.5			11.0
Histopathology finding Acute cholecystitis 36 52.9 Xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis 11 16.2 Chronic cholecystitis 21 30.9 Post operative complications 21 30.9 LRTI 30.9 4 5.9 Yes 4 5.9 4 5.9 No 64 94.1			
Acute cholecystitis 36 52.9 Xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis 11 16.2 Chronic cholecystitis 21 30.9 Post operative complications LRTI Yes 4 5.9 No 64 94.1 DVT		60	88.2
Xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis 11 16.2 Chronic cholecystitis 21 30.9 Post operative complications LRTI Yes 4 5.9 No 64 94.1 DVT	Histopathology finding	_	
Chronic cholecystitis 21 30.9 Post operative complications LRTI Yes 4 5.9 No 64 94.1 DVT			
Post operative complications LRTI			
LRTI Yes 4 5.9 No 64 94.1 DVT	Chronic cholecystitis	21	30.9
Yes 4 5.9 No 64 94.1 DVT			
No 64 94.1 DVT			
DVT 2 2.9 No 66 97.1 Bile duct injury 1 1.5	Yes		
Yes 2 2.9 No 66 97.1 Bile duct injury		64	94.1
No 66 97.1 Bile duct injury 1 1.5			
Bile duct injury 1 1.5	Yes		
Yes 1 1.5		66	97.1
No 67 98.5			
	No	67	98.5

DISCUSSION

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has emerged as the preferred standard for treating gallbladder stone disease. The estimated prevalence of gallstone disease in India ranges from 2% to 29%. Specifically, this condition is seven times more prevalent in India in the north than in the south. The

underlying cause of this divergence in prevalence rates is suspected to be due to the dietary variations between the two regions.^[4-7]

The average age of Indian patients is a decade younger than those in the West.^[7,8] The majority in the study was aged between 30-50, and research suggests an age-related increase in gallstone prevalence due to changes in cholesterol-related

enzyme activity. Most of the study participants are females; other studies confirm a higher incidence of gallstone disease in females.^[8,9] In India, female sex hormones and sedentary habits may contribute cumulatively to gallstone formation.

Of the 68 study subjects, 23.5% (16/68) had a BMI exceeding 25. While obesity is a well-established risk factor for gallstone development, it is crucial to note that gallstones can also occur in non-obese individuals. The formation of gallstones is influenced by modifiable factors like physical inactivity, diet, medications, and high BMI, along with non-modifiable factors such as age, ethnicity, genetics, sex, and parity. [10,11]

Gallbladder specimens are routinely submitted for histopathological examination to exclude incidental gallbladder cancer. The occurrence of unsuspected gallbladder carcinoma in routine cholecystectomy specimens typically varies from 0.2% to 2.9%. [12,13] However, in the current series, no such cases were identified. Malignant diseases of the gallbladder exhibit a highly aggressive nature and are more frequently observed in females. [14] A recent literature review indicates that the incidence of injuries to the common bile duct ranges from 0.1% to 0.6%. [15-17] In this study, one case of common bile duct injury was observed.

CONCLUSION

This study, conducted among 68 patients in North Kerala, explores the efficacy and safety of laparoscopic cholecystectomy, offering valuable insights. The results align with international standards, contributing essential regional data to the field. This research encourages further investigations into the factors influencing gallbladder disease and its management in North Kerala.

REFERENCES

 Reynolds Jr W. The first laparoscopic cholecystectomy. JSLS: Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons. 2001 Jan;5(1):89.

- Shamiyeh A, Wayand W. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: early and late complications and their treatment. Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery. 2004 Jun; 389:164-71.
- Duman K, Halil SK, Yilmaz F, Akin ML. The clinical outcome of traditional laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Gaziantep Medical Journal. 2013 Jan 1;19(1):35-9.
- Malhotra SL. Epidemiological study of cholelithiasis among railroad workers in India with special reference to causation. Gut. 1968 Jun;9(3):290.
- Mohan H, Punia RP, Dhawan SB, Ahal S, Sekhon MS. Morphological spectrum of gallstone disease in 1100 cholecystectomies in North India. Indian journal of surgery. 2005 Jun 1;67(3).
- Khurro MS, Mahajan R, Zargar SA, Javid G. Prevalence of biliary tract disease in India: a sonographic study in adult population in Kashmir. Gut 1989; 30:201-05.
- Jayanthi V, Palanivelu C, Prasanthi R, Methew S, Srinivasan V. Composition of gallstones in Coimbatore district of Tamil Nadu State. Ind J Gastroenterol 1998; 17:134-35.
- 8. Tandon RK. Pathogenesis of gallstones in India. Trop Gastroenterol. 1988 Apr-Jun;9(2):83-93.
- Baig SJ, Biswas S, Das S, Basu K, Chattopadhyay G. Histopathological changes in gallbladder mucosa in cholelithiasis: correlation with chemical composition of gallstones. Tropical Gastroenterol 2002; 23:25-7.
- Lammert F, Gurusamy K, Ko CW, Miquel JF, Méndez-Sánchez N, Portincasa P, et al. Gallstones. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2016 Apr;2((1)):16024.
- Kuipers F, Bloks VW, Groen AK. Beyond intestinal soap bile acids in metabolic control. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2014 Aug;10((8)):488–98
- Rathanaswamy S, Misra S, Kumar V, Chintamani, Pogal J, Agarwal A, et al. Incidentally detected gallbladder cancer-the controversies and algorithmic approach to management. Indian J Surg 2012; 74:248-54.
- 13. Lundgren L, Muszynska C, Ros A, Persson G, Gimm O, Valter L, et al. Are incidental gallbladder cancers missed with a selective approach of gallbladder histology at cholecystectomy? World J Surg 2018; 42:1092-9.
- Deng YL, Xiong XZ, Zhou Y, Shrestha A, Li FY, Cheng NS. Selective histology of cholecystectomy specimens-is it justified? J Surg Res 2015; 193:196-201.
- Radunovic M, Lazovic R, Popovic N, Magdelinic M, Bulajic M, Radunovic L, Vukovic M, Radunovic M. Complications of Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy: Our Experience from a Retrospective Analysis. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2016 Dec 15;4(4):641-646.
- Tantia O, Jain M, Khanna S, et al. Iatrogenic biliary injury: 13305 cholecystectomies experienced by a single surgical team over more than 13 years. Surg Endosc. 2008; 22:1077– 86
- Diamantis T, Tsigris C, Kiriakopoulos A, et al. Bile duct injuries associated with laparoscopic and open cholecystectomy: an 11- year experience in one institute. Surg Today. 2005; 35:841–5.